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From Mogor to Salsete: Rodolfo Acquaviva’s Error

In this talk I want to piece together the elements of the short missionary life of Rodolfo Acquaviva (b. 1550, Atri, Italy, d. 1583, Cuncolim, Goa), a Neapolitan nobleman and Jesuit who inhabited consecutively two very different but exemplary communicative settings. His first missionary experience at the age of thirty was at the Mughal court where he was part-time Portuguese ambassador and part-time learned religious specialist of the law of “ʿĪsā”. His next assignment three years later lasted just for a few days and was in the rural and borderland Portuguese Estado da Índia’s territory of Goa, where resistance to Jesuits and Portuguese tax collectors was brewing. By looking into his life and “martyr’s” death and his afterlife in Jesuit historiography, I will address the question of how missionaries adapted (or rather, did not adapt) to the specific communicative settings of different missions.

As a first step, I will show how Acquaviva’s aristocratic habitus produced what he thought was a “positive” effect on emperor Akbar and a small group of nobles around him. The point of emotional convergence was, in Acquaviva’s opinion, his insistence on “love” and “friendship” in his relationship with them. The failure of the mission and the inadequacy of Acquaviva’s missionary persona come through quite clearly in the treatise Mongolicae Legationis Commentarius written by his Catalan co-missionary Antoni de Monserrat who shared both the enthusiasm and the deception of the first Jesuit mission to the Mughal court.

As a second step, I will argue that Acquaviva may have mistakenly treated the situation in the village of Cuncolim in the Salsete region of Goa as identical to the courtly setting of encounter that he thought he knew so well. Acquaviva’s missionary life – if considered from outside of the narrative arc constructed around it – was filled with desperate gestures of a young and impatient noble Italian Jesuit and had a logical end in “martyrdom” and the failure to find a better verbal and performative solution. Most importantly, without knowledge of Konkani he was unable to build emotional ties with the “villagers.” He was also too closely associated with Portuguese soldiers and tax collectors.

The next generation of Jesuit missionaries certainly learnt from this case of failed communication: they distanced themselves from the official Portuguese administration and made an effort to familiarize themselves with local vernacular and learned languages.
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